

REMARKS

Claim 1 is amended. Thus, by this Amendment, Claims 1 and 2 are presented for examination.

The Examiner rejected Claim 1 as indefinite due to the presence of the term "comparatively fast motion dynamics". More specifically, she has objected to the term as lacking reference to any objective standard. Other than this, and a number of minor issues of a strictly technical nature, the claims are indicated to define patentable subject matter.

The Applicant has addressed the pending rejection by addition of the phrase "relative to the motion dynamics of the other axes". It is believed that this change addresses the previous allegation of indefiniteness, making it clear that the Kalman filter correction value is the scale factor error determined for the axis whose motion dynamics exceeds that of the other axes.

As the Examiner has indicated that the claims define patentable subject matter and require correction only with regard to the issue of indefiniteness which is addressed herein, prompt allowance and issuance of this application are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Elliott N. Kramsky", written in a cursive style.

Elliott N. Kramsky  
Registration No. 27,812  
Attorney for Applicants

Elliott N. Kramsky, Esq.  
LAW OFFICES OF ELLIOTT N. KRAMSKY  
5850 Canoga Avenue, Suite 400  
Woodland Hills, CA 91367  
Ph: (818) 992-5221  
Fx: (818) 710-2751